LATHAM & WATKINS

PAUL R. WATKINS (1899-1973) DANA LATHAM (1898-1974)

CHICAGO OFFICE

SEARS TOWER, SUITE 5800 CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60606 TELEPHONE (312) 876-7700 FAX (312) 993-9767

HONG KONG OFFICE

23RD FLOOR
STANDARD CHARTERED BANK BUILDING
4 DES VOEUX ROAD CENTRAL, HONG KONG
TELEPHONE + 852-2905-6400
FAX + 852-2905-6940

LONDON OFFICE

ONE ANGEL COURT LONDON EC2R 7HJ ENGLAND TELEPHONE + 44-171-374 4444 FAX + 44-171-374 4460

LOS ANGELES OFFICE

633 WEST FIFTH STREET, SUITE 4000 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071-2007 TELEPHONE (213) 485-1234 FAX (213) 891-8763

MOSCOW OFFICE

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

IOOI PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N.W.

SUITE 1300

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20004-2505 TELEPHONE (202) 637-2200

FAX (202) 637-220I

NEW JERSEY OFFICE

ONE NEWARK CENTER NEWARK, NEW JERSEY O7101-3174 TELEPHONE (201) 639-1294 FAX (201) 639-7298

NEW YORK OFFICE

865 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 1000 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10022-4802 TELEPHONE (212) 906-1200 FAX (212) 751-4864

ORANGE COUNTY OFFICE

650 TOWN CENTER DRIVE, SUITE 2000 COSTA MESA, CALIFORNIA 92626-1925 TELEPHONE (714) 540-1235 FAX (714) 755-8290

SAN DIEGO OFFICE

701 "B" STREET, SUITE 2100 SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA 92101-8197 TELEPHONE (619) 236-1234 FAX (619) 696-7419

SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE

505 MONTGOMERY STREET, SUITE 1900 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-2562 TELEPHONE (415) 391-0800 FAX (415) 395-8095

TOKYO OFFICE

INFINI AKASAKA, MINATO-KU TOKYO 107, JAPAN TELEPHONE +813-3423-3970 FAX +813-3423-3971

April 9, 1997

APR - 9 1007

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMUSSION
OFFICE OF SECRETARY

BY HAND DELIVERY

Mr. William F. Caton Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re:

IB Docket No. 97-95/RM-8811

Ex Parte Presentation

Dear Mr. Caton:

The individuals listed in the attached Table 1 and the undersigned representative of Hughes Communications, Inc. met on April 9, 1997 with Commission representatives Daniel Phythyon, Ron Netro, and Steve Weingarten to discuss the issues raised by the above-referenced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. The enclosed materials served as the basis for those discussions.

I am submitting an original and two copies of this letter.

No. of Copies recid CAL

LATHAM & WATKINS

Mr. William F. Caton April 9, 1997 Page 2

Respectfully submitted,

Arthur S. Landerholm* of LATHAM & WATKINS

Enclosure

cc: Daniel Phythyon

Ron Metro

Steve Weingarten

LATHAM & WATKINS

Mr. William F. Caton April 9, 1997 Page 3

Table 1

Individual	Representing
John P Janka	Hughes Communications, Inc.
Raul D. Rey	Hughes Communications, Inc.
Raymond G. Bender	Lockheed Martin Telecommunications
Giselle Gomez Creeser	Lockheed Martin Telecommunications
Stephen D. Baruch	Lockheed Martin Corporation
Jennifer A. Warren	Lockheed Martin Corporation
Andrew D' Uva	Loral Space & Communications
Michael Finn	Loral Space & Communications
Peter Rohrbach	GE American Communications, Inc.

Satellite Industry Coalition Presentation to the FCC 36-51.4 GHz Issues

Satellite Industry Interests

Coalition represents a broad range of interests

- FSS, MSS and BSS
- GSO and NGSO

Interests are not reflected in recent NPRM
Some members have built MILSTAR system
Commercial satellites in these bands will follow shortly

International Issues

Global satellite allocations are critical for satellites

Breaking up global allocations reduces spectrum efficiency of satellites

May be appropriate to conform global uses of these bands

No consensus exists yet in WRC-97 Ad Hoc MW Group

Domestic Issues

NPRM represents a net loss of satellite spectrum

- consolidation of FSS and BSS
- no accommodation of MSS
- NGSO/GSO segmentation is premature

Satellite requirements cannot be fully assessed before a filing window

Piecemeal implementation of band plan threatens satellite industry

- government sharing question
- band plan is dependent on WRC-97
- implementation of any part now may preclude ability to revise band plan later

Summary

Satellite industry has strong interest in preserving adequate bandwidth for next generation systems

Important international ramifications have not been fully assessed

Balance between satellite and terrestrial interests has not yet been reached

36-51.4 GHz proposal should not be implemented in pieces